

## **Table Discussion**

We ask each table to please read the Draft Ordinance (5-10 minutes) and then engage as a table in a discussion. After the small group discussion (20 minutes), each table will share their summary (about 3 minutes per table, pending size of tonight's participation).

Please nominate one table moderator to guide your table through the questions below. Please also have a notetaker and someone willing to speak on behalf of your table.

### ***Is this the right direction? Does it strike the right balance?***

Please take a vote among table members for each category, while also capturing key points of feedback.

**1- Yes, the draft Ordinance strikes the right balance and I have no major concerns.**

**(Total Votes for This Category: \_\_\_\_\_)**

In the box below, share general comments. Please indicate where the table had broader agreement vs an individual view on the comments below.

**2- Yes, the draft Ordinance strikes the right balance overall; however, I have some concerns that would need to be addressed.**

**(Total Votes for This Category: \_\_\_\_\_)**

In the box below, share specific areas of concern discussed and specific suggestions for changes. Please indicate where the table had broader agreement vs an individual concern:

In the box below, share areas of agreement, indicating parts of the Ordinance that should not be changed. Please indicate where the table had broader agreement vs an individual view.

A large, empty rectangular box with a thin black border, intended for the user to provide feedback on the ordinance. The box is currently blank.

3- **No, the draft Ordinance does not strike the right balance and I have major concerns.**  
(Total Votes for This Category: \_\_\_\_\_)

In the box below, share general comments. Please indicate where the table had broader agreement vs an individual view on the comments below.

**How many people were at your table:**

**Anything missed you want share:**

**Additional Notes:**